

JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	NO. CR05-5630FDB
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	ORDER GRANTING AMENDED
vs.	)	<u>UNOPPOSED</u> MOTION TO
	)	CONTINUE TRIAL DATE
ALAN GUIMONT,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

Upon the unopposed motion of Defendant to continue the trial date in the above-captioned case, and based upon the reasons set forth in Defendant's motion, which the Court adopts and incorporates by reference, the Court finds that a failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).

The Court further finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering a continuance in this case, that a continuance is necessary to insure effective trial preparation and that these factors outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date is continued from March 13, 2006 to May 15, 2006.

//

1 The period of delay resulting from this continuance from March 13, 2006, up to  
2 and including the new trial date of May 15, 2006 is hereby excluded for speedy trial  
3 purposes under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A) and (B).

4 DONE this 14<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2006.  
5  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 

11 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS  
12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
13

14 Presented By:  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

20 /s/ \_\_\_\_\_

21 Linda R. Sullivan

22 Attorney for Defendant  
23  
24  
25  
26